ARGUMENT

A. Bolden should be dismissed from this action because agency officials are not proper defendants in Freedom of Information Act lawsuits.

Plaintiff Jed Margolin has sued NASA and Bolden for their alleged failure to provide certain documents requested under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"). But individual agency employees are not proper party defendants in FOIA actions. *Johnson v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue*, 2002 WL 31934162 (W.D. Wash. 2002); see also 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) ("[T]he district court of the United States * * * has jurisdiction to enjoin the agency from withholding agency records and to order the production of any agency records improperly withheld from the complainant[.]") (emphasis added); *Hardy v. Daniels*, 2006 WL 176531 (D.Or. 2006) ("[T]he general consensus is that only a federal agency, and not federal officials, can be sued under FOIA.") (emphasis in original). Accordingly, Bolden should be dismissed from this lawsuit.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should dismiss NASA Administrator Charles F. Bolden from this action.

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney

/s/ Holly A. Vance
HOLLY A. VANCE
Assistant United States Attorney

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
234567	3 JED MARGOLIN, 4 Plaintiff, 5 v. 6 CHARLES E. BOLDEN	
8 9 10	9 AERONAUTICS AND SPACE) ADMINISTRATION,)	
11 12 13	The undersigned hereby certifies that service of the foregoing DEFENDANTS ' MOTION TO DISMISS has been made by electronic notification through the Court's	
14 15 16	electronic filing system or, as appropriate, by sending a copy by first-class mail to the following addressee(s) on September 9, 2009:	
17 18 19	18 1981 Empire Road Reno, NV 89521-7430	
20 21 22	21 As Holly A. Vance Holly A. Vance	
232425	24	
26 27	26 27	
28	20	