1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Jed Margolin, Pro Se 1981 Empire Rd. VC Highlands, NV 89521-7430 Telephone: 775-847-7845 Email: jm@jmargolin.com		
9 10 11 12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
	JED MARGOLIN,) Case No. 3:09-cv-00421-LRH-(VPC)	
	Plaintiff,	 MOTION REQUESTING LEAVE FOR EXCESS PAGES; PROPOSED ORDER 	
	vs.)	
	NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION,)))	
	Defendant.)	
13 14		_)	
15	Comes now Plaintiff, Jed Margolin ("Margolin"), appearing pro se, and files his Motion		
16	Requesting Leave For Excess Pages in his Opposition to NASA's Cross Motion For Summary		
17	Judgment (Document 50).		
18		Argument	
19	Margolin's Opposition to NASA's Cross Motion For Summary Judgment (Document 50)		
20	exceeds the 30-page limit set by local rules and Margolin failed to move for leave to exceed the		
21	page limit before he filed the Opposition. Margolin apologizes to the Court and wishes to		
22	explain how this came about.		

Case 3:09-cv-00421-LRH-VPC Document 53 Filed 11/08/10 Page 2 of 5

<u>1</u>. In NASA's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Cross-Motion for Summary
 Judgment (Document 46) they cited a number of cases they had not previously cited, and
 followed the practice of summarizing them in a few words, or sometimes as much as a short
 paragraph.

5

6 This required that Margolin find the cases, analyze them, and determine what the cases actually 7 teach. Thus, NASA could cite a number of cases in a very little space that required Margolin 8 devote several pages to properly characterize and respond to. And while Margolin was able to 9 find many of the cases online, some required access to Westlaw or Lexis-Nexis. To access 10 Westlaw and Lexis-Nexis he must physically travel to the Nevada Supreme Court Law Library 11 in Carson City, which ends up taking at least half a day of his time. Margolin needed to make 12 two such trips to respond to NASA's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Cross-13 Motion for Summary Judgment (Document 46).

14

<u>15</u> <u>2.</u> In NASA's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Cross-Motion for Summary
Judgment they introduced, for the first time, the defense of "Common Interest Privilege" and
cited a number of cases. Once Margolin found these cases they took him a great deal of time to
analyze and determine what they actually teach. And then they required a great deal of space to
respond to.

20

<u>3.</u> Margolin had approximately one month to respond to NASA's Opposition to Motion for
 Summary Judgment and Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. However, because of other
 unavoidable obligations Margolin was only able to work full time on his responses for

2

Case 3:09-cv-00421-LRH-VPC Document 53 Filed 11/08/10 Page 3 of 5

1	approximately two weeks before the deadline for his re	sponses. Margolin expects that an	
2	experienced attorney would need at most a day or two	for this task but Margolin is not an	
3	attorney (experienced or not). To make matters worse,	Margolin is 60 years old and for the past	
4	several years has found it necessary to completely focu	s on a task in order to perform at the level	
5	he expects from himself.		
6 7	By the time he realized that there was a page limit he h	ad already exceeded it, he still wasn't	
8	done, and he did not think there was time to move for h	eave to exceed the page limit.	
9			
10	Margolin asks the Court to act in its dual role as a Court	rt of Equity as well as a Court of Law and	
11	accept his Excess Pages in his Opposition to NASA's G	Cross Motion For Summary Judgment	
12	(Document 50) so that this case can be decided on its merits.		
13			
14	Conclusion		
15			
16	For the foregoing reasons, Margolin respectfully requests that the Court grant his Motion		
17	Requesting Leave For Excess Pages for his Opposition	to NASA's Cross Motion For Summary	
18	Judgment (Document 50).		
19		Respectfully submitted,	
20		/Jed Margolin/	
21		Jed Margolin, plaintiff pro se	
22		1981 Empire Rd.	
23		VC Highlands, NV 89521-7430	
24		775-847-7845	
25		jm@jmargolin.com	
26			
27	Dated: November 8, 2010		

1 2 3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
	JED MARGOLIN, Plaintiff, vs. NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND) JED MARGOLIN,) Case No. 3:09-cv-00421-LRH-(VPC) ORDER		
	SPACE ADMINISTRATION,		
	Defendant.		
4)		
5			
6	On Motion of the Plaintiff,		
7	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Plaintiff's Motion Requesting Leave For Excess Pages for		
8	his Opposition to NASA's Cross Motion For Summary Judgment (Document 50) IS HEREBY		
9	GRANTED.		
10			
11	DATED this th day of November, 2010.		
12			
13			
14 15	Larry R. Hicks United States District Judge		

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
3	
4	The undersigned hereby certifies that service of the foregoing MOTION REQUESTING LEAVE
5	FOR EXCESS PAGES has been made by electronic notification through the Court's electronic
6	filing system on November 8, 2010.
7	
8	
9	<u>/Jed Margolin/</u>
10	
11	Jed Margolin
12	