ORIGINAL

REC'D&FILED 1 Matthew D. Francis (6978) Adam P. McMillen (10678) 2014 JAN 17 PM 3: 05 2 WATSON ROUNDS 5371 Kietzke Lane ALAN GLOVER 3 Reno, NV 89511 Telephone: 775-324-4100 Facsimile: 775-333-8171 Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin 5 6 7 In The First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada 8 In and for Carson City 9 JED MARGOLIN, an individual, 10 Case No.: 090C00579 1B 11 Plaintiff, Dept. No.: 1 12 VS. OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, 13 a California corporation, OPTIMA 14 **OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR** TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada STAY OF PROCEEDINGS TO corporation, REZA ZANDIAN 15 **ENFORCE JUDGMENT** aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI **PURSUANT TO NRCP 62(B)** aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN 16 aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI 17 aka G. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 18 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30, 19 Defendants. 20 21 Zandian's Motion for Stay of Proceedings to Enforce Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 22 62(B) is solely based upon the fact that his Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment, filed on 23 December 20, 2013, is currently pending and he would have to post a bond. Zandian requests 24 the Court stay the enforcement of the judgment against him until such time as the Court 25 renders a decision on the pending Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment. 26 However, there is no basis to set aside the default judgment, the requested stay should 27 be denied, and execution efforts, including the debtor's examination scheduled for February

1

11, 2014, should proceed forward. See Opposition to Set Aside Default Judgment, filed herein

28

on 1/9/14; Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Debtor Examination and to Produce Documents, dated 1/13/14. At the very least, if a stay is granted — which it should not be — a bond should be required to protect Mr. Margolin's interests, especially considering the fact that Zandian has consistently and intentionally evaded his responsibilities related to this matter. Zandian's latest attempts to set aside the judgment and stay proceedings are just more evidence of Zandian's desire to avoid this proceeding or drag it out unnecessarily.

I. The Court Enjoys Wide Discretion Under NRCP 62(b)

"In its discretion...the court may stay the execution of or any proceedings to enforce a judgment..." NRCP 62(b). Zandian has provided no credible basis for setting aside the default judgment. See Opposition to Set Aside Default Judgment, filed herein on 1/9/14. Zandian's only justification for the requested stay is the pending motion to set aside the default judgment and his potential financial burden in posting a bond. See Motion for Stay, dated 12/30/13. Since there is no credible basis for setting aside the default judgment and any financial burden has been caused by his actions and inactions, there is no justification for the requested stay, and the requested stay should be denied.

II. NRCP 62(b) Allows The Court To Require Security

"In its discretion and on such conditions for the security of the adverse party as are proper, the court may stay the execution of or any proceedings to enforce a judgment..."

NRCP 62(b). Therefore, Rule 62(b) allows the Court to require a bond if a stay is granted pending determination of a post-trial motion.

Zandian has proved to be purposely evasive. See Opposition to Set Aside Default Judgment, filed herein on 1/9/14; see also previous motions filed herein. Therefore, if a stay is granted, Plaintiff respectfully requests Zandian be required to post a bond equal to the amount of the judgment in order to protect the interests of Mr. Margolin. The fact that Zandian may incur some expense in obtaining a bond should not weigh in his favor.

. 23

III. Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, Mr. Margolin respectfully requests that this Court deny Mr. Zandian's motion to set aside the default judgment and deny the requested stay.

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated this 16th day of January, 2014.

BY: Matthew D. Francis (6978)

Adam P. McMillen (10678) WATSON ROUNDS 5371 Kietzke Lane

Reno, NV 89511 Telephone: 775-324-4100 Facsimile: 775-333-8171

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Watson Rounds, and that or
this date, I deposited for mailing, in a sealed envelope, with first-class postage prepaid, a true
and correct copy of the foregoing document, OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR STAY OF
PROCEEDINGS TO ENFORCE JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 62(B), addressed
as follows:

Optima Technology Corp. A California corporation 8401 Bonita Downs Road Fair Oaks, CA 95628

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Optima Technology Corp. A Nevada corporation 8401 Bonita Downs Road Fair Oaks, CA 95628

Optima Technology Corp. A California corporation 8775 Costa Verde Blvd. #501 San Diego, CA 92122 Optima Technology Corp. A Nevada corporation 8775 Costa Verde Blvd. #501 San Diego, CA 92122

Johnathon Fayeghi, Esq. Hawkins Melendrez 9555 Hillwood Dr. Suite 150 Las Vegas, NV 89134 Counsel for Reza Zandian

Dated: January 16, 2014

Manay Kindsley