case by a reasonable hourly rate." *Id.* at n. 98 (citing *Herbst v. Humana Health Ins. of Nevada*, 105 Nev. 586, 590, 781 P.2d 762, 764 (1989)).

However, before awarding attorney's fees, the district court must make findings concerning the reasonableness of the award, as required by *Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank*, 455 P.2d 31, 85 Nev. 345 (1969) and *Shuette v. Beazer Homes Holdings Corp.*, 124 P. 3d 530, 121 Nev. 837 (2005). *See Barney*, 124 Nev. at 829-30, 192 P.3d at 735-37.

According to *Brunzell*, the factors that the district court should consider in awarding attorney fees, with no one factor controlling, is as follows:

- (1) the advocate's qualities, including ability, training, education, experience, professional standing, and skill;
- (2) the character of the work, including its difficulty, intricacy, importance, as well as the time and skill required, the responsibility imposed, and the prominence and character of the parties when affecting the importance of the litigation;
- (3) the work performed, including the skill, time, and attention given to the work; and
- (4) the result—whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived.

Barney, 192 P.3d at 736 (citing Brunzell, 85 Nev. at 349, 455 P.2d at 33).

According to *Shuette*, the district court is required to "provide[] sufficient reasoning and findings in support of its ultimate determination." *Id.* (citing *Shuette*, 121 Nev. at 865, 124 P.3d at 549).

As set forth in Plaintiff's counsel's declaration, the lodestar amount of postjudgment attorney's fees is \$34,632.50. See McMillen Decl., ¶¶ 2-6A and Exhibit 2. This amount only includes reasonable attorney's fees from October 18, 2013 to April 18, 2014, as follows: 14.4 hours of work performed by attorney Matthew D. Francis at \$300 per-hour (\$4,320.00); 81.5 hours of work performed by attorney Adam P. McMillen at \$300 per-hour (\$24,450.00); and 46.9 hours of work performed by paralegal Nancy Lindsley at \$125 per-hour (\$5,862.50). *Id.* This lodestar amount is reasonable under the Brunzell factors as follows.

11 //

## ORIGINAL

REC'D & FILED 1 Matthew D. Francis (6978) Adam P. McMillen (10678) 2014 APR 28 PM 3: 57 2 WATSON ROUNDS 5371 Kietzke Lane 3 Reno, NV 89511 Telephone: 775-324-4100 Facsimile: 775-333-8171 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin 5 6 7 In The First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada 8 In and for Carson City 9 10 Case No.: 090C00579 1B JED MARGOLIN, an individual, 11 Plaintiff, Dept. No.: 1 12 13 vs. DECLARATION OF ADAM OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, MCMILLEN IN SUPPORT OF a California corporation, OPTIMA PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ORDER 15 TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada ALLOWING COSTS AND corporation, REZA ZANDIAN **NECESSARY DISBURSEMENTS** 16 aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN 17 aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA 18 ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 19 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30, 20 Defendants. 21 22 I, Adam P. McMillen, do hereby declare and state: 23 1. I am counsel of record for Plaintiff Jed Margolin in this matter. This declaration is 24 based upon my personal knowledge and is made in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Order 25 Allowing Costs and Necessary Disbursements. 26 27

28